What places should be included in the Heritage Overlay?

- Any place that has been listed on the Australian Heritage Council’s now closed *Register of the National Estate*.
- Any place that has been referred by the Heritage Council for consideration for an amendment to the planning scheme.
- Places listed on the *National Trust Register* of the National Trust of Australia (Victoria), provided the significance of the place can be shown to justify the application of the overlay.
- Places identified in a local heritage study, provided the significance of the place can be shown to justify the application of the overlay.

Places listed on the former *Register of the National Estate* or on the *National Trust Register* of the National Trust of Australia (Victoria) do not have statutory protection unless they are protected in the planning scheme.

The heritage process leading to the identification of the place needs to clearly justify the significance of the place as a basis for its inclusion in the Heritage Overlay. The documentation for each place shall include a statement of significance that clearly establishes the importance of the place and addresses the heritage criteria.

What are recognised heritage criteria?

The following recognised heritage criteria shall be used for the assessment of the heritage values of the heritage place. These model criteria have been broadly adopted by heritage jurisdictions across Australia and should be used for all new heritage assessment work.

**Criterion A:** Importance to the course or pattern of our cultural or natural history (historical significance).

**Criterion B:** Possession of uncommon rare or endangered aspects of our cultural or natural history (rarity).

**Criterion C:** Potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of our cultural or natural history (research potential).
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Criterion D: Importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a class of cultural or natural places or environments (representativeness).

Criterion E: Importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics (aesthetic significance).

Criterion F: Importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period (technical significance).

Criterion G: Strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons. This includes the significance of a place to Indigenous peoples as part of their continuing and developing cultural traditions (social significance).

Criterion H: Special association with the life or works of a person, or group of persons, of importance in our history (associative significance).

The adoption of the above criteria does not diminish heritage assessment work undertaken before 2012 using older versions of criteria.

The thresholds to be applied in the assessment of significance shall be 'State Significance' and 'Local Significance'. 'Local Significance' includes those places that are important to a particular community or locality. Letter gradings (for example, "A", "B", "C") should not be used.

In order to apply a threshold, some comparative analysis will be required to substantiate the significance of each place. The comparative analysis should draw on other similar places within the study area, including those that have previously been included in a heritage register or overlay. Places identified to be of potential state significance should undergo limited analysis on a broader (statewide) comparative basis.

Places of significance for historical or social reasons

Planning is about managing the environment and changes to it. An appropriate test for a potential heritage place to pass in order to apply the Heritage Overlay is that it has ‘something’ to be managed. This ‘something’ is usually tangible but it may, for example, be an absence of built form or the presence of some other special characteristic. If such things are present, there will be something to manage and the Heritage Overlay may be applied.

If not, a commemorative plaque is an appropriate way of signifying the importance of the place to the local community.

Group, thematic and serial listings

Places that share a common history and/or significance but which do not adjoin each other or form a geographical grouping may be considered for treatment as a single heritage place. Each place that forms part of the group might share a common statement of significance; a single entry in the Heritage Overlay Schedule and a single Heritage Overlay number.

This approach has been taken to the listing of Chicory Kilns on Phillip Island in the Bass Coast Planning Scheme. The kilns are dispersed across the island but share a common significance. Group listing of the kilns also draws attention to the fact that the kilns are not just important on an individual basis, but are collectively significant as a group.

The group approach has also been used for the former Rosella Factory Complex in the Yarra Planning Scheme. This important factory complex had become fragmented through replacement development making it hard to justify a precinct listing. The group listing, with a single Heritage Overlay number, has meant that the extent and significance of the complex can still be appreciated.

Writing statements of significance

For every heritage place (that is, a precinct or individual place) a statement of significance should be prepared using the three-part format of ‘What is significant?’; ‘How is it significant?’ and ‘Why is it significant?’.

What is significant?- This section should be brief, usually no more than one paragraph or a series of dot points. There should be no doubt about the elements of the place that are under discussion. The paragraph should identify features or elements that are significant about the place, for example, house, outbuildings, garden, plantings, ruins, archaeological sites, interiors as a guide to future decision makers. Mention could also be made of elements that are not significant.

How is it significant?- A sentence should be included to the effect that the place is important because of its historical significance, its rarity, its research potential, its representativeness, its
aesthetic significance, its technical significance and/or its associative significance. These descriptors are shown in brackets at the end of the heritage criteria listed above. The sentence should indicate the threshold for which the place is considered important.

**Why is it significant?** - This should elaborate on the criteria that makes the place significant. A separate point or paragraph should be used for each criterion satisfied. The relevant criterion should be inserted in brackets after each point or paragraph. Each point or paragraph may include the threshold for which the place is considered important.

**Saving and displaying statements of significance**

All statements of significance should be securely stored in the HERMES heritage database.

Where a planning scheme amendment has resulted in the addition of, or amendments to, places in the Heritage Overlay, the strategic justification (that is, heritage study documentation and statements of significance) should be entered into the department’s HERMES heritage database.

Where a place (either a precinct or individual place) is included in the Heritage Overlay, the statement of significance for that place should be publicly viewable through the department’s Victorian Heritage Database.

**Additional resources may be required**

When introducing the Heritage Overlay, a council should consider the resources required to administer the heritage controls and to provide assistance and advice to affected property owners. This might include providing community access to a heritage adviser or other technical or financial assistance.

**Drafting the Heritage Overlay schedule**

**What is a heritage place?**

A heritage place could include a site, area, building, group of buildings, structure, archaeological site, tree, garden, geological formation, fossil site, habitat or other place of natural or cultural significance and its associated land. It cannot include movable or portable objects such as machinery within a factory or furniture within a house.

**What is the planning scheme map reference number?**

In column one of the schedule, the Planning Scheme Map Reference prefix should read HO1, HO2, HO3 and so on. Each heritage place in the schedule will have its own identifying number. The planning scheme maps should also record these numbers as a cross reference between the maps and the schedule.

**Street numbers and location descriptions**

Street numbers and locality addresses should be included for properties wherever possible. Where a street address is not available, plan of subdivision details (for example, Lot 1 of PS12345) should be used. Avoid using Crown Allotment details, Certificate of Title details or obscure location descriptions if possible.

**How should the Heritage Overlay schedule be arranged?**

There are two preferred options for arranging the schedule. Heritage places may be grouped according to their suburb, town or location and then arranged alphabetically by street address within each grouping. Alternatively, all places may be listed alphabetically by their street address irrespective of their location. Use the method which most assists users of the planning scheme to find the relevant property by a simple search through the schedule.

**Applying external painting controls**

External painting controls over particular heritage places can be applied in the schedule by including a ‘yes’ in the External Paint Controls Apply? column.

**Applying internal alterations controls**

Internal alteration controls over specified buildings can be applied in the schedule by including a ‘yes’ in the Internal Alteration Controls Apply? column. This provision should be applied sparingly and on a selective basis to special interiors of high significance. The statement of significance for the heritage place should explain what is significant about the interior and why it is important.
Applying tree controls

The schedule can apply tree controls over heritage places. The tree controls could apply to the whole of a heritage place (for example, over a house site or an area) or a tree or group of trees could be specifically nominated as the heritage place.

Tree controls are applied by including a ‘yes’ in the Tree Controls Apply? column. Tree controls should only be applied where there has been a proper assessment. The statement of significance for the heritage place should identify the particular trees that are significant (under “What is significant?”) and why the tree or trees are important.

If only one, or a few trees within a large property are considered to be significant, the ‘Tree Controls Apply’ column can be qualified with the relevant details. This would then mean that a planning permit would only be required to remove, destroy or lop the trees that were specifically identified in the column.

This control is designed to protect trees that are of intrinsic significance (such as trees that are included on the National Trust Register or trees that contribute to the significance of a garden or area). The control is not meant to protect trees for their amenity value. See Planning Practice Note 7 – Vegetation Protection in Urban Areas for alternative methods of vegetation protection.

Outbuildings and fences

While a planning permit is required to demolish an existing outbuilding or fence on land subject to the Heritage Overlay, it is possible to exempt such planning permit applications from the notice and review requirements of the Planning and Environment Act 1987. This is achieved by including the word ‘no’ in the column headed ‘Outbuildings or fences which are not exempt under Clause 43.01-3?’.

If the word ‘yes’ appears in this column then the notice and review requirements of the Planning and Environment Act 1987 will potentially apply to a planning permit application to demolish or remove an outbuilding or fence.

It is helpful to landowners and users of the planning scheme if the column in the schedule identifies the particular outbuildings and/or fences that are considered to be significant. The statement of significance for the heritage place should also identify the particular outbuildings and/or fences that are significant (under “What is significant?”) and why they are important.

How should places in the Victorian Heritage Register be treated in the schedule and map?

Section 48 of the Heritage Act 1995 requires that the Minister for Planning must ‘prepare and approve an amendment to any planning scheme applying to a place which is included in the Heritage Register to identify the inclusion of that place in the Heritage Register’. This is intended as an alert to planning scheme users of restrictions that might apply to land under the Heritage Act.

Planning authorities should not amend the schedule or maps as they relate to places in the Victorian Heritage Register and certainly not without the prior approval of Heritage Victoria. This is to ensure that planning schemes accurately reflect the Heritage Register as required by the Heritage Act.

Under Clause 43.01-2, places on the Victorian Heritage Register are subject to the requirements of the Heritage Act 1995 and not the planning provisions of the Heritage Overlay. Where Places included in the Victorian Heritage Register are listed in the schedule, a dash should be recorded in columns three (external paint controls), four (internal alteration controls), five (tree controls) and six (outbuildings and fences) to avoid any possible confusion as to whether planning provisions apply to these properties. In column seven (‘Included on the Victorian Heritage Register ...’) the reference number of the property on the Victorian Heritage Register should be included as an aid to users of the planning scheme.

Allowing a prohibited use of a heritage place

It is possible to make a prohibited use permissible at specific places by including a ‘yes’ in the Prohibited uses may be permitted? column.

This provision should not be applied to significant areas because it might result in the de facto rezoning of a large area. The provision should only be applied to specific places. For example, the provision might be used for a redundant church, warehouse or other large building complex where it is considered that the normally available range of permissible uses is
insufficient to provide for the future conservation of the building. Currently this provision applies in the metropolitan area of Melbourne to places that are included on the Victorian Heritage Register.

Aboriginal heritage places

Scarred trees, stone arrangements and other places significant for their Aboriginal associations can be identified by including a ‘yes’ in the Aboriginal Heritage Place? column. As with any place listed in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay, supporting justification is expected to apply this provision.

The standard permit requirements of Clause 43.01-1 of the Heritage Overlay apply to Aboriginal heritage places included in the schedule. Clause 43.01-6 reminds a responsible authority that the requirements of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 2006 apply to these places.

How are heritage precincts and areas treated?

Significant precincts and areas should be identified in the schedule as well as being mapped.

How are individual buildings, trees or properties of significance located within significant areas treated?

The provisions applying to individual buildings and structures are the same as the provisions applying to areas, so there is no need to separately schedule and map a significant building, feature or property located within a significant area.

The only instance where an individual property within a significant area should be scheduled and mapped is where it is proposed that a different requirement should apply. For example, external painting controls may be justified for an individual building of significance but not over the heritage precinct surrounding the building.

Alternatively, tree controls may be justified for a specific tree or property within a significant precinct but not over the whole precinct. In such situations the individual property or tree should be both scheduled and mapped.

Significant buildings or structures within a significant precinct can be identified through a local planning policy.

Curtilages and Heritage Overlay polygons

The Heritage Overlay applies to both the listed heritage item and its associated land. It is usually important to include land surrounding a building, structure, tree or feature of importance to ensure that any development, including subdivision, does not adversely affect the setting, context or significance of the heritage item. The land surrounding the heritage item is known as a ‘curtilage’ and will be shown as a polygon on the Heritage Overlay map. In many cases, particularly in urban areas and townships, the extent of the curtilage will be the whole of the property (for example, a suburban dwelling and its allotment).

However, there will be occasions where the curtilage and the Heritage Overlay polygon should be reduced in size as the land is of no significance. Reducing the curtilage and the polygon will have the potential benefit of lessening the number of planning permits that are required with advantages to both the landowner and the responsible authority. Examples of situations where a reduction in the curtilage and polygon may be appropriate include:

- A homestead on a large farm or pastoral property where it is only the house and/or outbuildings that is important. In most cases with large rural properties, the inclusion of large areas of surrounding farmland are unlikely to have any positive heritage benefits or outcomes.
- A significant tree on an otherwise unimportant property.
- A horse trough, fountain or monument in a road reservation.
- A grandstand or shelter in a large but otherwise unimportant public park.

Suggested steps in establishing a curtilage and polygon include:

1. Review the heritage study documentation and ask the question ‘What is significant?’. The polygon should capture those elements of the place that are significant. If there are multiple elements that are widely dispersed on the property, one option may be to have multiple polygons which share the same Heritage Overlay number.
2. In addition to capturing the elements that are significant, it is almost always necessary to include some surrounding land (a “curtilage”) in order to:

- retain the setting or context of the significant building, structure, tree or feature
- regulate development (including subdivision) in close proximity to the significant building, tree or feature.

3. Where possible, uncomplicated and easily recognised boundaries (such as a fence line) leave little room for potential dispute in terms of the land affected by any future Overlay.

4. Use aerial photos where they exist to assist in identifying a reduced curtilage.

5. Where access is possible, ‘ground truthing’ may be of assistance.

6. Explain the basis for the reduced curtilage polygon in the heritage study documentation.

7. Where questions might arise in the future as to the extent of the polygon shown on the planning scheme map, use the entry in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay (i.e. column two) to specify the area covered by the polygon. For example:

“The heritage place is the Moreton Bay Fig Tree and land beneath and beyond the canopy of the tree and extending for a distance of five metres from the canopy edge.”

Mapping heritage places

All heritage places must be both scheduled and mapped.

In each case, care should be taken to ensure that there is an accurate correlation between the Heritage Overlay schedule and the Heritage Overlay map.

The need for care is exemplified by the fact that the Heritage Overlay map will be the determining factor in any dispute as to whether a control applies (for example, in cases where there is conflict between the Heritage Overlay map and the property description or address in the Heritage Overlay schedule).

Councils are encouraged to review their planning schemes to ensure that all heritage places are correctly mapped and that there are no discrepancies between how places are identified in the Heritage Overlay schedule and Heritage Overlay maps.
## Schedule to the Heritage Overlay

The requirements of this overlay apply to both the heritage place and its associated land.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PS Map Ref</th>
<th>Heritage Place</th>
<th>External Paint Controls Apply?</th>
<th>Internal Alteration Controls Apply?</th>
<th>Tree Controls Apply?</th>
<th>Outbuildings or fences which are Not exempt under Clause 43.01-3?</th>
<th>Included on the Victorian Heritage Register under the Heritage Act 1995?</th>
<th>Prohibited uses may be permitted?</th>
<th>Name of Incorporated Plan under Clause 43.01-2</th>
<th>Aboriginal heritage place?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HO1</td>
<td>House 1 Albert Street, Belmont</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO2</td>
<td>Athol House 57 Albert Street, Belmont</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO3</td>
<td>Jones Foundry 4 William Street, Breakwater</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO4</td>
<td>Moreton Bay Fig Tree 26 Bryant Street, Ceres</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO5</td>
<td>House 13 Albert Street, Geelong</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO6</td>
<td>Bay Villa 122 Middle Street, Geelong</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO7</td>
<td>Barwon River Bridge Station Street, Geelong</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ref No H789</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO8</td>
<td>William Street Precinct William Street, Geelong</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO9</td>
<td>Mount Rothwell Stone Arrangement Mount Rothwell Station Little River-Ripley, Little River</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>