

Reasonable or economic use Relevant matters for the consideration of section 101(2)(b) of the *Heritage Act 2017*

Made and published under s19(1) of the Heritage Act 2017 in June 2021

Purpose

- This Policy is intended to provide guidance as to the matters relevant to the consideration of 'reasonable or economic use' under s101(2)(b) of the *Heritage Act 2017* (the Act) by the Executive Director of Heritage Victoria (the Executive Director) when determining an application for a permit.
- 2. The Policy may assist:
 - 2.1 Heritage Victoria staff assessing a permit application in relation to a place or object included in the Victorian Heritage Register.
 - 2.2 The Executive Director in fulfilling their obligations pursuant to s101(2)(b) when determining a permit application.
 - 2.3 The Heritage Council of Victoria (the Heritage Council) when determining a review of a permit determination by the Executive Director.
 - 2.4 Persons applying to the Executive Director for a permit or making a submission to the Heritage Council for a permit review, or those acting on their behalf.

Relevant legislation

3. This Policy relates to s101(2)(b) of the Act. S101(2) of the Act provides:

(2) In determining whether to approve an application for a permit, the Executive Director must consider the following:

(a) the extent to which the application, if approved, would affect the cultural heritage significance of the registered place or registered object;

(b) the extent to which the application, if refused, would affect the reasonable or economic use of the registered place or registered object;

(c) any submissions made under section 95 or 100;

(d) if the applicant is a public authority, the extent to which the application, if refused, would unreasonably detrimentally affect the ability of the public authority to perform a statutory duty specified in the application;

(e) if the application relates to a listed place or to a registered place or registered object in a World Heritage Environs Area, the extent to which the application, if approved, would affect—

(i) the world heritage values of the listed place; or

(ii) any relevant Approved World Heritage Strategy Plan;

(f) any matters relating to the protection and conservation of the registered place or registered object that the Executive Director considers relevant.



Policy Statement

- 4. The following Policy applies to the consideration of permit applications made under s93 of the Act.
- 5. When determining a permit application, the Executive Director balances the consideration of the extent to which approval would affect cultural heritage significance (s101(2)(a)) against the consideration of the extent to which refusal would affect reasonable or economic use (s101(2)(b)), in addition to other mandatory and discretionary considerations. The Executive Director attributes weight to each consideration according to the particular application and registered place or object, and their obligations under the Act.
- 6. S101(2)(b) is a mandatory consideration and <u>must</u> be considered by the Executive Director when determining a permit application.
- 7. S101(2)(b) requires the Executive Director to consider:
 - The extent to which refusal of the application would affect the reasonable use of the registered place or object; and/or
 - The extent to which refusal of the application would affect the economic use of the registered place or object.
- 8. 'Reasonable use' and 'economic use' are distinct and must be assessed separately.
- 9. The Executive Director must take into account the effect of refusal on reasonable use <u>and</u> economic use if factors relevant to both are present. However, it is sufficient for the Executive Director to take into account either reasonable use <u>or</u> economic use in their consideration if factors relevant to only one are present.
- 10. The Executive Director may require the applicant, or those acting on their behalf, to provide evidence demonstrating the extent to which reasonable use and/or economic use of the registered place or object would be affected by refusal, pursuant to s98 of the Act. The Executive Director must consider the extent of the effect on reasonable and/or economic use regardless of whether the requested evidence is provided, therefore the Executive Director's assessment may be limited by an applicant's failure to adequately respond to requests for further information.
- 11. The s101(2)(b) consideration is concerned with reasonable or economic <u>use</u>, **not** reasonable or economic <u>development</u>. The proposed works cannot in themselves constitute 'reasonable or economic use', rather the consideration under s101(2)(b) relates to whether the works allow for the 'reasonable or economic use' of the registered place or object.

Reasonable use

- 12. When determining a permit application, the Executive Director must consider the extent to which the reasonable use of the registered place or object would be affected by a refusal, as an alternative to the 'economic use' consideration or in addition if relevant.
- 13. The 'reasonable use' consideration is an objective assessment and is concerned with the reasonable use of the registered place or object, not what is subjectively reasonable. For example, refusal to issue a permit for an addition to a residence may affect the reasonable use of the registered place to a lesser extent if the place could generally be used as a residence by others without the proposed changes.
- 14. When taking into account the circumstances of the permit application and the registered place or object, the Executive Director may consider: (1) the historic, recent and current uses of the registered place or object, (2) other compatible uses¹ of the registered place or object, (3) the context and setting within which the place or object is located, and (4) other relevant matters.

¹ Compatible use means a use which respects the cultural significance of a place. Such a use involves no, or minimal, impact on cultural significance. (Article 1.11, *The Burra Charter: the Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, 2013*).

- 15. Refusal to issue a permit for works to enable a change in use may affect the reasonable use of a place to a greater extent if the historic or recent use is now obsolete, than if the historic or recent use is not obsolete. For example, an adaptive re-use proposal for a now redundant industrial complex.
- 16. Refusal to issue a permit for upgrading facilities to bring them to contemporary or safe standards may affect the reasonable use of a place. For example, updating kitchens, bathrooms, access provisions or other necessary infrastructure or services required to meet current regulations or legislation.

Economic use

- 17. When determining a permit application, the Executive Director must consider the extent to which the economic use of the registered place or object would be affected by a refusal, as an alternative to the 'reasonable use' consideration or in addition if relevant.
- 18. The 'economic use' consideration is concerned with the economic functioning <u>of the registered place or object</u> and is not focussed on the financial circumstances of the applicant or owner. The feasibility of a proposed development may be relevant insofar as it relates to the viability of an ongoing use or an adaptive re-use of the registered place or object.
- 19. The Executive Director may consider whether the proposed works would facilitate an economically sustainable use of the registered place or object. An economically sustainable use could be one that can continue for the medium to long term, mitigating the possibility of continual proposed changes to the registered place or object.
- 20. If the permit applicant contends that the future economic use of the registered place or object would be affected by refusal, they may be required to provide relevant information to support this contention for the Executive Director's consideration, pursuant to s98. This may include evidence of the forecasted impact, showing the degree of impact over a relevant timeframe with an explanation of assumptions used in the modelling.
- 21. Refusal to issue a permit for works which would enable the use of the registered place or object to generate income critical to fund ongoing and future conservation and maintenance, may affect the economic use of the registered place or object, particularly if the historic, recent or current use is a commercial use. A permit refusal may affect the economic use of a registered place or object if it would limit the capacity of an existing or compatible commercial use to generate income necessary to cover the cost of:
 - conservation and maintenance of the registered place or object; and/or
 - rates and land tax directly associated with the registered place; and/or
 - capital improvements to ensure the continued use of the registered place or object, where that use contributes to its significance (including reasonable debt repayment and interest costs). For example, improving infrastructure or services to ensure that an existing compatible commercial use continues to attract tenants and/or customers.